IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH
AT HYDERABAD

(Special Original Jurisdiction)

TUESDAY, THE EIGHTEENTH DAY OF JANUARY
TWO THOUSAND AND FIVE

PRESENT

THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE K.C. BHANU

WRIT PETITION NO : 25373 of 2004

Between:

K. Dhananjaya, S/o. K. Chengaiah,
Settipalli Village and Post, Tirupathi Rural, Chittoor District.

..... PETITIONER

AND

1 The General Manager, South Central Railway, Rail Nilayam, Secunderabad.
2 Chief Workshop Manager, Carriage Repair Shop, S.C. Railway, Tirupathi.

.....RESPONDENTS

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed herein the High Court will be pleased to issue Writ of Mandamus or any other appropriate Writ or order or direction declaring that the action of the respondents herein in not considering the case of the petitioner for employment under the Land Loser's Scheme as per the Notification issued by the respondents on 12-12-1997 and 24-5-2001, as arbitrary, illegal, unjust and issue consequential direction directing the respondents to consider the case of the Petitioner for employment under the Land Losers Scheme, and pass such other order or orders

Counsel for the Petitioner:KUM.C.SINDHU KUMARI

Counsel for the Respondent Nos.1 and2: MR.GOURI SHANKAR SANGHI

The Court at the admission stage made the following Order:

This writ petition is filed seeking a Writ of Mandamus declaring the action of the respondents in not considering the case of the petitioner for employment under the Land Loser's Scheme as per the notification issued by the respondents on 12.12.1997 and 24.05.2001 as illegal and arbitrary.

The case of the petitioner is that an extent of 55 cents of land in different survey numbers situated at Settipalli village, Tirupathi Rural, Chittoor District was acquired by the respondents for establishment of Railway Carriage Repair Shop at Tirupathi. The third respondent had evolved a scheme providing employment to the land losers. The petitioner filed the present writ petition seeking employment under the scheme provided for the land losers.

Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and the learned Standing Counsel for Railways.

Therefore, without going into merits of the case, I deem it appropriate to dispose of the writ petition directing the respondents to consider the case of the petitioner in the light of scheme framed by the third respondent to provide employment to the land losers within three months from the date of receipt of a copy of this order.

The writ petition is accordingly disposed of at the stage of admission. No costs.

18.01.2005

usd

To

1 The General Manager, South Central Railway, Rail Nilayam, Secunderabad.
2 The Chief Workshop Manager, Carriage Repair Shop, S.C. Railway,

Tirupathi.

3. Two CD copies